# The PlugX malware revisited: introducing "Smoaler"

# By Gabor Szappanos, Principal Researcher, SophosLabs

# July 2013

In a recent SophosLabs article about the <u>PlugX malware family</u> [A], we concluded with these words:

There is no doubt that PlugX development will go on, and new features and tricks will be introduced.

We'll keep an eye on them, and if any interesting or important new features appear, we'll be sure to let you know.

Fast forward just under two months, and we're ready to tell you the next stage in this ongoing saga.

The malware family we'll be looking at in this report is known as Smoaler, and it shares many features with PlugX, notably that:

- Smoaler relies on the same vulnerability, <u>CVE-2012-0158</u>. [B]
- Smoaler uses the same **exploit shellcode**.
- Smoaler uses similar visual distractions, or **decoys**, with a Tibetan theme.
- Smoaler uses the same **initial malware modules** to initiate infection.

Thereafter, the new malware follows a different path to the PlugX samples we looked at last time.

We shall analyse the "what happens next" component of Smoaler later on.

To clarify the terminology we have used above, remember that:

- A **vulnerability** is a software bug that could potentially be abused to make your computer behave insecurely.
- An **exploit** is a real-world trick by which a vulnerability can be activated to bypass security.

- **Shellcode** is runnable program code delivered inside a file that is supposed to be plain data, and therefore implicitly safe, but that can be executed without the user's knowledge or consent by exploiting a vulnerability.
- Initial malware modules, also called **droppers**, are malware components, often delivered or activated by shellcode, used to deliver the full malware, or payload, that the attacker wants to install.

#### **How Smoaler arrives**

The Smoaler samples seen by SophosLabs were all packaged into files with the extensions . DOC or . DOCX. These extensions usually denote files specific to Microsoft Word that were created by Microsoft Word.

Despite the extensions, however, all the files were actually in Rich Text Format (RTF), a text-based file format for representing documents.



We assume that the attackers chose RTF because its text structure makes it easier to manipulate to create a working exploit for the CVE-2012-0158 vulnerability.

	Selected quotes from the book.docx
	Press Release - Heart is Noble book launch.doc
Filenames	TIBATIAN PROBLEM.doc [sic]
	Backgrounder on His Holiness the Karmapa.docx
	Why Tibet Matters Now Oct 1 final version.doc
	404,220 bytes
File sizes	294,096 bytes
	1,086,312 bytes
	397,564 bytes
	7,858,097 bytes

As with PlugX, the Smoaler files arrived with Tibetan-themed subject lines:

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb C}$  2013 Sophos Ltd. All rights reserved. Visit  $\underline{www.sophos.com/legal}$  for more information.

Sophos detection	Exp/20120158-A
	b84a133cf02eaa7b8a8096e997bda28fc482cf78
SHA1 checksums	c093d4cd2390617da58bd412c9219e013de503a3
	b2f854e9987bce5d110349a354588568ab49726b
	a99b73b56fe94375ec46e51903f815d86afbd78d
	56e8c76cd88996da9b88901520f72ebb743e55ff

If you open an infected document on an unpatched, unprotected computer, the shellcode in the document will activate and run.

After infecting your computer, the shellcode loads a new copy of Word to display a **decoy document** that is hidden inside the malicious file.

You will notice only notice a brief flicker when the old instance of Word closes and the new one with the decoy opens.



The decoy documents are all unexceptional, uninfected documents with content that matches the filenames given to the malicious RTFs. (See Fig 2.)

In preparing the decoy for display, the malware overwrites the infected RTF file with the contents of the decoy (which is in DOC format), thus removing one useful piece of evidence that might otherwise help pinpoint the source of infection.

# The shellcode

The executable code that kicks off infection is the same as was used in PlugX, being byte-for byte identical (see Fig 3) to the shellcode from PlugX 6.0 [A].

	PlugX 6.0 shellcode	Comment	Smo	oaler shellcode
mov imul push push call push lea push push mov push call mov push call mov	<pre>eax, [ebp+64h] occupation eax, 6 occupation eax occupation dword ptr [ebp+194h]occupation 2 occupation 2 occupation 2 occupation eax occ</pre>	; length of embedded EXE ; estimated decompressed size ; hmem ; COMPRESSION_FORMAT_LZNT1 ; RtlDecompressBuffer ; hmem ; hmem ; WriteFile ; CloseHandle ; start of encoded EXE	mov imul push push call push lea push push mov push call mov push call mov	eax, [ebp+64h] eax, 6 eax dword ptr [ebp+194h] 2 ss:off_38[ebp] 0 eax, [ebp+190h] eax dword ptr [ebp+190h] eax, [ebp+194h] eax, [ebp+194h] eax eax, [ebp+184h] eax, [ebp+184h] eax dword ptr [ebp+20h] eax, [ebp+184h] eax
	Fig 3: The P	ugX 6.0 and Smoaler shellcodes are i	dentical	

This shellcode is unusual for its use of LZNT1 compression for the embedded executable payload. This technique has not been observed in any other APT (Advanced Persistent Threat) attacks.

The shellcode decompresses an embedded PE file, writes it into the %TEMP% folder with the name DW20.DLL (this mimics the filename used by the Dr. Watson utility on Windows that pops up when a program crashes), and runs it.

### First-stage dropper

Filename	DW20.DLL
Sophos detections	Troj/Plugx-I Troj/Plugx-K

The first-stage payload created by the shellcode contains another program file embedded as data.

It locates this in its own executable file, drops it to disk (which is where the name **dropper** comes from for this sort of malware component), and runs it.

PlugX revisited: introducing "Smoaler"

There are two main sorts of dropper, detected by Sophos as Troj/Plugx-I and Troj/Plugx-K. (See Fig 4.) The names reflect the similarity, thus far, to earlier PlugX malware.

Troj/Plugx-I type Smoaler dropper F	PSEUDO	OCODE Troj/Plugx-K type Smoaler dropper
<pre>tempName = GetTempFileName(); hDropper = CreateFile(dw20Name,READ); hNewfile = CreateFile(tempName,WRITE); // Embedded EXE is at offset 0xA00 SetFilePointer(hDropper,0xA00); repeat { buffer = ReadFile(hDropper,1024); outLen = WriteFile(hNewfile,buffer,1024); } until outLen &lt; 1024; CloseHandle(hDropper); CloseHandle(hNewfile); WinExec(tempName);</pre>		<pre>tempName = GetTempFileName(); hDropper = CreateFile(dw20Name,READ); totSize = GetFileSize(hDropper); // Embedded EXE is at offset 0xC00 newSize = totSize - 0xC00; hnewfile = CreateFile(tempName,WRITE); SetFilePointer(hDropper,0xC00); buffer = VirtualAlloc(newSize); buffer = ReadFile(hDropper,newSize); WriteFile(hNewfile,buffer,newSize); CloseHandle(hdropper); CloseHandle(hnewfile); WinExec(tempName);</pre>
Fig 4: Side-by-side pseudocode for Smoaler first-stage dropper variants		

But what happens next is quite different from a PlugX attack, which is why this malware has been given the general name Smoaler, rather than PlugX.

# The intermediate infector

Filename	Random temporary name (deleted after use)
Sophos detection	Mal/Smoaler-A

The temporary file dropped by DW20.DLL contains a compressed DLL (dynamic link library: a special sort of program file) stored in its resources. This compressed program is unpacked using code built on the stack.

This component decodes the Command-and-Control (C&C) server names that the final malware will use, and saves them to the registry entry HKCU\Software\ Microsoft\Windows Media\XC. (See Fig 5.)

C&C servers are the computers to which infected computers, often called *bots* or *zombies*, connect in order to fetch instructions on what to do next. Putting the C&C server names in the registry, rather than in the zombie executable itself, means that if the user becomes suspicious and submits the malware file to a security vendor, the locations of the C&C servers will not be revealed.

The data in the registry entry is obscured by flipping the least significant bit of the non-zero bytes (i.e. XORing them with 0x01). This provides a light disguise against an inquisitive user.

PlugX revisited: introducing "Smoaler"

Gabor Szappanos, SophosLabs

📸 Registry Editor		
File Edit View Favorites Help		
🗄 📄 ShellNoRoam 🔺 Name Type	Data	
Windows Help	(value not set)	
	RY 01 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 65 75 6d 2f 65 6f 72 65 2f 6c 64 00 00 00 0	
🔛 🔛 Windows NT	Edit Binary Value	
Windows Wi Windows Script Windows Script ✓	Value name:	
	XC XC	
My Computer\HKEY_CURRENT_USER\Software\Microsoft\Windows Media	Value data:	
Decodes (XORed with 0x01) to dtl.dnsd.me	0000 01 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0008 65 75 6D 2F 65 6F 72 65 0010 2F 6C 64 00 00 00 00 00 0018 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	
	OK Cancel	
Fig 5: Smoaler registry entry used to store C&C server names		

After storing the C&C names in the registry, the intermediate infector loads the dropped DLL using the LoadLibrary() Windows API call.

The intermediate infector is deleted from the system after execution.

#### Main malware component

Filename	Randomly-generated name
Sophos detection	Troj/Smoaler-A

The DLL dropped by the intermediate infector is the principal component of Smoaler.

The DLLs created during infection are variable in size, and huge, ranging from 20 MBytes to 50 MBytes.

This is not because they are complex and packed with functionality: the useful content of Smoaler is less than 40 KBytes. The bulk of each DLL consists of a number (two to six) binary resources of 5 MBytes to 10 MBytes each. These resources are filled with zero bytes, entirely for the purpose of bulking up the file.

We assume that the purpose of deliberately bloating the main DLL is to disguise its original source, since even a suspicious user might not connect a multi-megabyte DLL with the original infectious RTF of a few hundred kilobytes. (The original RTF is also replaced with the decoy DOC file after infection, further diverting attention from the origin of the malware.)

# When the DLL first runs, it installs itself permanently into two places on the victim's computer:

• C:\Documents and Settings\All Users\Application Data\Microsoft\ Windows\Burn\%COMPUTERNAME%.dll

(%COMPUTERNAME% is generated by querying the name of the computer.)

• C:\Documents and Settings\All Users\Application Data\Microsoft\ Windows\LiveUpdata\_Mem\%RANDOM%.dll

(The %RANDOM% part of the name is variable, e.g. B6go3s One.dll or 7n5HjV.dll.)

Two or three copies of the DLL are dropped. They typically differ in size, because the zero-byte resource padding may vary in each file.

The DLL is added as a registry value called %COMPUTERNAME% in the registry key HKCU\Software\Microsoft\Windows\CurrentVersion\Policies\Explorer\run. This provides what is known as **persistence**, meaning that the malware is automatically re-loaded every time the victim reboots or logs on. (See Fig 6.)



Note that Smoaler is launched using the Windows utility rundll32.exe, because DLLs cannot execute on their own. They require a host program inside which to run.

When connecting to its C&C servers, Smoaler injects itself into the process IEXPLORE.EXE. This is a common trick used by malware to make its traffic appear to originate from a browser, thus arousing much less suspicion.

While running, however, Smoaler keeps a lookout for processes called vsserv.exe, fsdfwd.exe, AvastSvc.exe, uiWatchDog.exe, and avp.exe. It avoids injecting itself into Internet Explorer if any of them are active.

These processes belong to various security products, so this is a malware precaution often jocularly called **anti-anti-virus**, intended to avoid suspicious activity that might attract the attention of security software.

After installing itself, Smoaler attempts to connect to its C&C servers. The domain names it uses are already known from earlier Tibet-related malware attacks: dtl.dnsd.me, dtl.eauto.com and dtl6.moo.com.

#### What happens next?

Unfortunately, we can't say precisely how an infected computer will behave if Smoaler gets this far.

That is because the content that the primary Smoaler DLL fetches from its C&C servers is whatever malware the attackers choose to serve up next.

Worse still, the programs downloaded by Smoaler are not in regular EXE or DLL format.

Firstly, the downloads are encrypted; secondly, their headers are stripped off so that, even decrypted, they are not immediately obvious as programs; thirdly, they are loaded directly into, and run directly from, memory, rather then being written to disk and launched via the Windows API.

That means that if you kill off the Smoaler process and delete its primary executable, as you will almost certainly want to do if you find out that you are infected, you may no longer have a complete copy of the malware to submit for analysis.

In this way, the Smoaler authors avoid showing all the cards in their hand at once, in the hope of staying one step ahead.

However, this multi-stage approach also has a significant disadvantage for cybercriminals: there are now multiple points in the attack at which you can spot an anomaly, intervene, and win.

#### Prevention is better than cure

Malware like Smoaler is a strong reminder of why proactivity and prevention are better than cure: once you are infected, it can be hard to go back and unravel what happened, because of the tricks that the malware uses along the way. PlugX revisited: introducing "Smoaler"

Gabor Szappanos, SophosLabs

#### References

- [A] http://nakedsecurity.sophos.com/inside-the-plugx-malware
- [B] http://www.cve.mitre.org/cgi-bin/cvename.cgi?name=CVE-2012-0158

# For further security information

http://www.sophos.com/why-sophos/our-people/technical-papers.aspx

http://nakedsecurity.sophos.com/

http://podcasts.sophos.com/